## OPTIMIZING THE DETECTION OF GENOMIC ALTERATIONS, INCLUDING PATHOGENIC GENE FUSIONS

### Conventional biomarker screening does not detect the full breadth of genomic alterations







Tests such as RT-PCR, FISH, and IHC were developed to detect single molecular targets.<sup>1,2</sup>

These methods may be unable to identify the full breadth of genomic alterations, fusion partners, and breakpoints, and risk exhausting tissue samples due to the high amounts needed for sensitivity.<sup>1-4</sup>

### NGS can detect a broad range of genomic alterations<sup>5</sup>

NGS is a high-throughput genomic sequencing technology that allows for the simultaneous analysis of numerous alterations; NGS can be DNA-based, RNA-based, or both<sup>1,6</sup>



### DNA-based NGS alone can miss pathogenic gene fusions<sup>8</sup>



DNA-based sequencing can lead to false-negative and false-positive results in a variety of cases, particularly in detection of gene fusions<sup>8,9</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Graphic for illustrative purposes only. Not drawn to scale or reflective of actual results captured by different methodologies.

Comprehensive testing with RNA-based NGS, including DNA and RNA sequencing, is recommended to capture what DNA-based NGS alone can miss<sup>1,8</sup>

FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NGS, next-generation sequencing; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.

# Why is RNA-based NGS more comprehensive in detecting pathogenic gene fusions?<sup>10</sup>

### **RNA-based NGS**

- Detects gene expression and structural variants<sup>11</sup>
- Reduces many of the technical challenges involved in sequencing long introns<sup>11</sup>
- Enables oncologists to match therapy to the driving fusion—which wouldn't have otherwise been identified potentially leading to improved clinical responses<sup>8,12,13</sup>

Features of Fusions That May Evade DNA-based NGS <sup>14</sup>	RNA	DNA
Overcomes difficulties caused by large introns	$\checkmark$	×
Facilitates realignments in intron repeats	$\checkmark$	×
Assay sensitivity is retained with low tumor sample if highly expressed	$\checkmark$	×
Captures a broad range of complex genomic events	$\checkmark$	×

### NRG1: A dangerous pathogenic gene fusion

- NRG1 is particularly challenging to detect without RNA-based NGS due to its diversity of gene fusion partners and breakpoints and its large intronic region<sup>15</sup>
- A retrospective study at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center included 30 patients with NRG1+ tumors who had both DNA-based and RNA-based NGS performed. Of these, 28 were identified by RNA-based NGS but were undetected by DNA-based NGS<sup>10</sup>

### Please visit www.FindTheFusions.com to learn more

NGS, next-generation sequencing; NRG1, neuregulin 1; NRG1+, neuregulin 1 fusion positive.

References: 1. Bruno R, Fontanini G. Next generation sequencing for gene fusion analysis in lung cancer: a literature review. Diagnostics (Basel). 2020;10(8):521. doi:10.3390/diagnostics10080521 2. Su D, Zhang D, Chen K, et al. High performance of targeted next generation sequencing on variance detection in clinical tumor specimens in comparison with current conventional methods. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2017;36(1):121. doi:10.1186/s13046-017-0591-4 3. Next-generation sequencing testing in oncology. Personalized Medicine in Oncology. Accessed February 20, 2023. https://www.personalizedmedonc.com/artcile/next-generation-sequencing-testingin-oncology/ 4. Yu TM, Morrison C, Gold EJ, Tradonsky A, Layton AJ. Multiple biomarker testing tissue consumption and completion rates with single-gene tests and investigational use of oncomine Dx target test for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a single-center analysis. Clin Lung Cancer. 2019;20(1):20-29.e8. doi:10.1016/j. cllc.2018.08.010 5. Singh RR. Next-generation sequencing in high-sensitive detection of mutations in tumors: challenges, advances, and applications. J Mol Diagn. 2020;22(8):994-1007. doi:10.1016/j.jmoldx.2020.04.213 6. Goswami RS, Luthra R, Singh RR, et al. Identification of factors affecting the success of next-generation sequencing testing in solid tumors. Am J Clin Pathol. 2016;145(2):222-237. doi:10.1093/ajcp/aqv023 7. ABM Inc. Next generation sequencing (NGS) - data analysis. Accessed February 20, 2023. https://old.abmgood.com/marketing/knowledge\_base/next\_generation\_sequencing\_data\_analysis.php 8. Benayed R, Offin M, Mullaney K, et al. High yield of RNA sequencing for targetable kinase fusions in lung adenocarcinomas with no mitogenic driver alteration detected by DNA sequencing and low tumor mutation burden. Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25(15):4712-4722. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0225 9. Heydt C, Wölwer CB, Velazquez Camacho O, et al. Detection of gene fusions using targeted next-generation sequencing: a comparative evaluation. BMC Med Genomics. 2021;14(1):62. doi:10.1186/s12920-021-00909-y 10. Benayed R, Liu SV. Neuregulin-1 (NRG1): an emerging tumor-agnostic target. Clinical Care Options: Oncology. Accessed February 20, 2023. https://www.clinicaloptions.com/oncology/ programs/2021/nrg1-fusions/text-module/nrg1-text-module/page-111. Mahmoud M, Gobet N, Cruz-Dávalos DI, Mounier N, Dessimoz C, Sedlazeck FJ. Structural variant calling: the long and the short of it. Genome Biol. 2019;20(1):246. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1828-7 12. Cobain EF, Wu Y-M, Vats P, et al. Assessment of clinical benefit of integrative genomic profiling in advanced solid tumors. JAMA Oncol. 2021;7(4):525-533. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.7987 13. Hindi I, Shen G, Tan Q, et al. Feasibility and clinical utility of a pan-solid tumor targeted RNA fusion panel: a single center experience. Exp Mol Pathol. 2020;114:104403. doi:10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104403 14. Davies KD, Aisner DL. Wake up and smell the fusions: single-modality molecular testing misses drivers. Clin Cancer Res. 2019;25(15):4586-4588. doi:10.1158/1078-0432. CCR-19-1361 15. Drilon A, Duruisseaux M, Han J-Y, et al. Clinicopathologic features and response to therapy of NRG1 fusion-driven lung cancers: the eNRGy1 Global Multicenter Registry. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(25):2791-2802. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.03307

#### PARTNER THERAPEUTIC